## PROPOSED RULE MAKING



CR-102 (July 2022) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

## **CODE REVISER USE ONLY**

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: October 04, 2022

TIME: 4:31 PM

WSR 22-20-107

Aganov: Dapartment	of Einancial I	netitutions Division of Cons	umor S	Convices					
Agency: Department of Financial Institutions, Division of Consumer Services									
☐ Original Notice									
□ Supplemental Notice to WSR									
□ Continuance of WSR									
☑ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 22-10-056; or									
□ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or									
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or									
☐ Proposal is exempt under RCW									
<b>Title of rule and other identifying information:</b> (describe subject) Amending the rules (chapter 208-660 WAC) under the Mortgage Broker Practices Act (chapter 31.04).									
Hearing location(s):		<u> </u>							
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)		Comment:					
November 16, 2022	10 AM	Department of Financial		Room 319 or via telephone at 36	60-725-7	839			
		Institutions, 150 Israel Rd.	SW,						
Data of intended ada	-ti 11 01	Tumwater, WA 98501							
		.2022 (Note: This is NOT the		<u> </u>					
Submit written comm			Assistance for persons with disabilities:						
Name: Ashley Sutherla		WA 00504 4000	Contact Ashley Sutherland						
Address: P.O. Box 412			Phone: 360-664-7866						
Email: <u>Ashley.Sutherla</u>	<u>nd@dfi.wa.g</u>	<u>10V</u>	Fax:						
Fax:			TTY: 1-800-833-6384						
Other: www.dfi.wa.gov			Email:						
By (date)			Other:						
			By (date)						
				changes in existing rules: Am					
				apter 19.146 RCW) to implement ircumstances, for licensed compa					
				impany licensing the residence as					
Additionally, technical	changes will	be made for clarity and con	sistenc	y.					
Reasons supporting proposal: The statutory change acknowledged the fact that technology advancements have greatly									
changed the way in which mortgage loan originators engage in business, with most work being completed digitally and									
through secure origination systems.  Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 43.320.040 and RCW 19.146.225									
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
Statute being implemented: Chapter 19.146 RCW									
Is rule necessary because of a:					□ Vaa	⊠ Na			
Federal Law?					☐ Yes	⊠ No			
Federal Court Decision?					☐ Yes				
State Court Dec	ISION?				☐ Yes	⊠ No			
If yes, CITATION:  Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal									
matters: None									
Type of proponent: ☐ Private ☐ Public ☒ Governmental  Name of proponent: (person or organization) Department of Financial Institutions									

Name of agency personnel responsible for:								
	Name	Office Location		Phone				
Drafting:	Devon Phelps	150 Israel Rd SV	V, Tumwater, WA 98501	360-664-7894				
Implementatio	n: Lucinda Fazio	150 Israel Rd SV	V, Tumwater, WA 98501	360-902-8800				
Enforcement:	Lucinda Fazio	150 Israel Rd SV	V, Tumwater, WA 98501	360-902-8800				
If yes, insert s	strict fiscal impact statement tatement here: may obtain a copy of the sch			□ Yes ⊠ No				
Addi Phoi Fax: TTY Ema Othe	: il:							
Is a cost-bend	efit analysis required under	RCW 34.05.328?						
Nam Addi Phoi Fax: TTY Ema Othe	ress: ne: : iil:	yolo may be obtained by	contacting.					
	airness Act and Small Busi							
(1) Identificat This rule proportion	ion of exemptions: osal, or portions of the propose RCW). For additional information any applicable exemption	sal, may be exempt from ation on exemptions, cons	requirements of the Regulato	ory Fairness Act (see				
☐ This rule p adopted solely	roposal, or portions of the pro to conform and/or comply w rule is being adopted to conf	pposal, is exempt under Rith federal statute or regu	lations. Please cite the specif	ic federal statute or				
defined by RC	roposal, or portions of the prowww. 34.05.313 before filing the roposal, or portions of the proreferendum.	notice of this proposed re	ule.					
	roposal, or portions of the pro	posal, is exempt under R	CW 19.85.025(3). Check all	that apply:				
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)					
,	Internal government operation	ns)	(Dictated by statute)					
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)					
,	Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)					
	Correct or clarify language)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)	ings: or (ii) process				
	Correct or clarify language)		<ul><li>((i) Relating to agency hear requirements for applying to or permit)</li></ul>	• , , ,				
☐ This rule p	roposal, or portions of the pro	posal, is exempt under R		affect small businesses).				
-	$\square$ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW							

Explanation of how the above exemption(s) applies to the proposed rule: RCW 34.05.310(4)(d) applies to one portion of the rule that makes only a technical change to correct language. RCW 34.05.310(4)(e) applies to some portions of the rules as								
the statute was amended in 2021 to no longer require a branch location for mortgage loan originators working from home.								
	Scope of exemptions: Check one.	0) =						
				tified above apply to all portions of the rule proposal.  emptions identified above apply to portions of the rule				
	osal, but less than the entire rule proposal.							
Proposed WAC Sections and Title		This proposed r section is <u>not e</u> Analysis is requ	rule xempt-	This proposed rule section is exempt. Provide RCW to support this exemption.				
1.	WAC 208-660-006 - Definitions	$\boxtimes$						
2.	WAC 208-660-106 – Definition of loan processor			RCW 34.05.310(4)(d) – Deleted reference to underwriters as mortgage brokers do not have underwriters, only loan processors.				
3.	WAC 208-660-300(4) – Location where mortgage loan originator can work			RCW 34.05.310(4)(e) – Deleted statement that the loan originator may only work from a main or branch office.				
4.	WAC 208-660-420(4) – Physical location requirement							
5.	WAC 208-660-420(5) – Working for a company located out of the state			RCW 34.05.310(4)(e) – Deleted statement that the company must license a branch in Washington for the loan originator to work from.				
6. WAC 208-660-505 - Requirements for loan originators working from home without licensing the location □								
☐ The rule proposal is not exempt <i>(complete section 3)</i> . No exemptions were identified above.								
on b ii r g g g li	fany portion of the proposed rule is <b>not exempt</b> , does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?  No Briefly summarize the agency's minor cost analysis and how the agency determined the proposed rule did not impose more-than-minor costs. The majority of changes in the rule actually save licensees money as it removes the requirement for companies to pay \$185 to license and \$530 each year to renew a branch office for each mortgage loan originator that worked from the loan originator's residence. The new section that was added to the rules does not impose any new requirements on licensees and therefore did not impose any costs. Licensees were already required to have certain policies and procedures and comply with specific federal laws. Lastly, all other changes did not impose a cost on licensees.  Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert the required small business economic impact statement here:  The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:  Name:  Address: Phone: Fax: TTY: Email:							
Date	Other: 2: 10/4/2022		Signatu	re:				
Name: Charles Clark				Charl Mark				
Title: Agency Director			man					